

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/734,637	12/12/2000	Greg Volgas	HCC-9 (306*141)	4754
23416	7590 12/30/2004		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1712	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)	- Yh
09/734,637 VOLGAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Daniel S. Metzmaier 1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n,
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/30/2004 &amp; 10/18/2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	S
Disposition of Claims	
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 42-46,52-61 and 66-74 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 74 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 42-46,52-54, 56-61, 67 and 70-73 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 55,66,68 and 69 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>	
Application Papers	٠
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/734,637

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 42-46, 52-61 and 66-74 are pending.

## Specification

The specification should be checked for completeness due to the incomplete sentence at page 9, lines 2-4.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 42-46, 52-54, 56-61, 67 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. A careful review of the application reveals the disclosure of alternative embodiments of either a fertilizer or an oil. Attention is directed to page 2, lines 10 and 13-16, wherein the use of oil is disclosed in the alternative to the fertilizers. See also page 8, lines 1-15; and examples, particularly example 8. See also the original claims wherein no claim wa presented that included both an oil and a fertilizer in a "an oil based homogeneous liquid" or otherwise.

Said materials are not disclosed together in "an oil based homogeneous liquid" composition that there is no water added or less than 10 % water present. Said compositions as claimed are not supported by the disclosure as originally filed.

Application/Control Number: 09/734,637 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 42-46, 62-67 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is the scope of the claimed subject matter due to the limitation "no added water". The compositions were made by merely blending the various components. Any water is necessarily added if present. A review of the specification fails to clarify this limitation. It is unclear what applicants contemplate as added water.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 42-44, 52-53, 56-59, 70 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson et al, US 3,287,189. Wilson et al (Table 1, columns 3 and 4) discloses compositions as thick paste, paste, and a pourable slurry comprising ammonium nitrate (a known fertilizer), fuel oil, guar gum and 3, 6 or 9 % by weight of water. In at least run number 1, the oil concentration is higher than the water concentration. The claim limitation to no added water reads on the Wilson et al compositions since Wilson et al does not teach adding water. The water present in the Wilson et al compositions are present in the initial composition.

Application/Control Number: 09/734,637 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

The fuel oil disclosed in Wilson et al reads on mineral oil, paraffinic oil and hydrocarbon oil instantly claimed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 55, 66 and 68-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose the compositions as claimed employing a pesticide.

## Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed September 30, 2004 and October 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. Applicants (page 20 of the response) direct attention to page 4 of the amendment filed June 2003 and the citation of page 8, lines 9 and 10, as providing support for the limitation, "no added water". The issue
- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the remaining claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1712

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaie Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712